

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/064,136	06/13/2002	Michael W. Fortner	MYKR1350	. 3231
25094 7	. 08/15/2003			
GRAY, CARY, WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP 1221 SOUTH MOPAC EXPRESSWAY SUITE 400			EXAMINER	
			TANG, MINH NHUT	
AUSTIN, TX 78746-6875			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2829	

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Na /
	Application No	Applicant(s)
•	10/064,136	FORTNER, MICHAEL W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Minh N. Tang	2829
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU  - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cult of the period for reply specified above is less than thirm of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for results.	ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( m statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI epiy will, by statute, cause the application to become ABAI ths after the mailing date of this communication, even if tirr	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication (s	) filed on <u>13 June 2002</u> .	
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
closed in accordance with the p	tion for allowance except for formal matte ractice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in t		
	s/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) <u>1,4-9,12-15,19,20,22-24</u>		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,10,11,16-18,21,25,2</u>		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to		
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	striction and/or election requirement.	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner	
, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	002 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected	to by the Examiner.
	objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	
**	filed on is: a) approved b) dis	
, , , ,	e required in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	d to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None o	of:	
1. Certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been received in Ap	plication No
application from the Int	ies of the priority documents have been reternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ction for a list of the certified copies not re	
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
	language provisional application has been for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144)	w (PTO-948) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3

Art Unit: 2829

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: reference number "24" in Fig. 4. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

a/ on page 5, lines 2 and 15 (counted by hand), "diaphragm"s" and "sensor"s" should be -- diaphragm's -- and -- sensor's --, respectively.

b/ on page 6, lines 2 and 21 (counted by hand), "CDS"s" should be -- CDS's --. c/ on page 8, line 27 (counted by hand), "sensor"s" should be --sensor's --. d/ on page 9, lines 20 and 21 (counted by hand), "electrode"s" and "CDS"s"

e/ on page 11, lines 8-9 and 22 (counted by hand), "designated B+ and ." and "DCM 10" should be -- designated B+ and B-. -- and -- CDS 10 --, respectively.

f/ on page 13, lines 13 and 19 (counted by hand), "transformer"s" and "amplifier"s" should be -- transformer's -- and -- amplifier's --, respectively.

should be -- electrode's -- and -- CDS's --, respectively.

g/ on pages 16 through 20, [c1], [c2], [c3], [c4], [c5], [c6] (page 16), [c7], [c8], [c9], [c10], [c11], [c12] (page 17), [c13], [c14], [c15], [c16], [c17], [c18], [c19], [c20], [c21]

Art Unit: 2829

(page 18), [c22], [c23], [c24], [c25], [c26], [c27] (page 19), and [c28], [c29], [c30], [c31], [c32], [c33] (page 20) should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-3, 10-11, 16-18, 21, 25, 27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 2 and 3, the limitation "the differential capacitance manometer" has not been recited previously; therefore this term is indefinite.

In claim 10, it is not clear "the center-tap" represents. Is "the center-tap" different from or the same as "center-tap primary winding"?

In claim 11, it is not clear "the primary windings" (lines 2 and 4) referred to whether "the one or more primary windings" or "a pair of primary windings".

In claim 16, the limitation "the charge amplifier" (lines 2-3) has not been recited previously, therefore this term is indefinite. Should claim 16 depend on claim 9?

In claim 17, it is not clear "an excitation voltage source" different from or the same as "a voltage source" recited previously. If "an excitation voltage source" is different from "a voltage source", then there are two types of voltage source. Are those two types of voltage source shown in any figures? Furthermore, the limitations " the

Art Unit: 2829

port" (line 3), and "the common mode transformer" (line 5) have not been recited previously; therefore these terms are indefinite.

In claim 18, the limitation "the excitation voltage source" (line 2) has not been recited previously, therefore this term is indefinite.

In claim 21, the limitation "the charge amplifier" (line 4) has not been recited previously, therefore this term is indefinite. Should claim 16 depend on claim 9?

In claims 25 and 29, it is not clear "the signal" (line 2 in claim 25, and line 1 in claim 29) referred to whether "a signal induced on the secondary winding of the current transformer" or "an amplified signal corresponding to the signal induced on the secondary winding of the current transformer".

In claim 27, the limitation "the excitation source" (lines 2 and 4) has not been recited previously, therefore this term is indefinite.

Claim 30 is rejected since it depends on claim 29.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1, 4-7, 8-9, 12-15, 19, 20, 22-24, 26, 28, and 31-33 are allowed.

Claims 1, 4-7 recite, inter alia, an interface for a capacitance diaphragm sensor (CDS) comprising a conductive shielding structure positioned around a current transformer and a charge amplifier; a common mode transformer having a first winding coupled to receive the amplified signal from the charge amplifier; an excitation voltage source, wherein the excitation voltage source is coupled to the primary winding of the current transformer and configured to supply an excitation voltage to a port of the CDS, wherein the excitation voltage source is further coupled to a second winding of the

Art Unit: 2829

common mode transformer, and wherein the excitation voltage source is further coupled to the shielding structure.

Claims 8-9, 12-15, 19, 20, 22-24, 26, 28, and 31-33 recite, inter alia, a device and method for measuring current differential, comprising a differencing current transformer having one or more primary windings configured to be coupled between a voltage source and a pair of circuits, wherein current flowing through the one or more primary windings to a first one of the pair of circuits generates a first magnetomotive force (MMF) and wherein current flowing through the one or more primary windings to a second one of the pair of circuits generates a second MMF which is opposite in polarity to the first MMF and wherein the net of the first and second MMFs induces a current on a secondary winding of the differencing current transformer proportional to the difference between the first and second MMFs.

The art of record does not disclose the above limitations, nor would it be obvious to modify the art of record so as to include the above limitations.

It is noted that claims 2-3, 10-11, 16-18, 21, 25, 27, 29, and 30 would be allowed along with the allowable claims above if they are amended to over come the 112 rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 6. applicant's disclosure:

Wu

5,948,169

Apparatus For Preventing Particle

Deposition In A Capacitance

Art Unit: 2829

Diaphragm Gauge.

Ferran 5,396,803 Dual Balanced Capacitance

Manometers For Suppressing

Vibration Effects.

Ferran et al. 4,823,603 Capacitance Manometer Having

Stress Relief For Fixed Electrode.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh N. Tang whose telephone number is (703) 305-1652. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) first Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Cuneo, Kamand can be reached on (703) 308-1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3431.

Minh Tang

August 11, 2003